

THE ROLE OF PORTFOLIO IN PROMOTING MULTILINGUAL EDUCATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

Savankova M.V.¹, Dobayev K.D.²

¹Master of pedagogical sciences, Senior lecturer at the department of Foreign language education KazUIR&WL named after Ablai khan, PhD student of Bishkek University of Humanities, Kazakhstan, E-mail: marina.savankova@mail.ru

²Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, professor, Head of the laboratory of pedagogy and professional education of Kyrgyz Academy of Education, Kyrgyzstan, E-mail: kdobaev@yandex.ru

Abstract

The given article deals with the formation of a multilingual personality in the Republic of Kazakhstan in the light of the implementation of the trilingual programme of school education adopted in Kazakhstan. According to this programme special attention is paid to the study of languages, namely Kazakh, Russian and English. The formation of the personality of the subject of intercultural communication is defined as the final goal of foreign language education and the emphasis is paid to the development of such skills of students as self-evaluation, motivation and self-monitoring. The authors of the article propose the use of the Language Portfolio as means of promoting both multilingual education and self-regulated language learning. Moreover, while working with portfolio students develop their ability to realize the adequate self-esteem on the basis of so-called 'co-evaluation'. In Kazakh University of International Relations and World Languages named after Ablai Khan, there has been developed and tested the domestic model of the Language Portfolio, created on the basis of European Language Portfolio and Common European Framework of References. The article contains samples of parts of the Portfolio (Language Passport, Language Biography and Dossier) developed in Kazakhstan as a tool to organize independent work of students and promote multilingual education. We believe that the inclusion of Portfolio in the process of teaching foreign languages will give it a systematic character, because in the process of working with the Portfolio, the teacher and the student work together to improve the level of language proficiency, which will allow to eliminate the gaps in "teaching" and "learning", realizing the principle of continuity in teaching foreign (and native) languages, increasing motivation and the students' conscious attitude to learning foreign languages, which undoubtedly will improve the quality of a multilingual education.

Keywords: multilingualism, Language Portfolio, language personality, subject of intercultural communication, intercultural-communicative competence, self-assessment, self-evaluation, independent work of students

1. INTRODUCTION

The modern era of globalization, characterized by intensively developing integration processes in recent years, increasing academic and professional mobility, and deepening international cooperation has contributed to a significant change in the social role of foreign languages, which are now seen as an

indispensable condition for successful integration into the global economic space. So, the President of Kazakhstan noted that our country should "... be perceived all over the world as a highly educated country whose population uses three languages: the Kazakh language is the state language, the Russian language as the language of interethnic communication and English is the language of successful integration into the global economy." (NA Nazarbayev "The New Kazakhstan in the New World"). In this regard, the modern Kazakhstani School has set a number of tasks, among which special attention is paid to the formation of a multilingual personality of a schoolchild who is expected now to be fluent in at least three languages: Kazakh, Russian and English.

To implement these strategic goals of education, a programme for the profiling of school education and teaching a number of subjects in foreign languages was chosen. So, in Kazakhstani schools there are now two directions introduced: socio-humanitarian and natural-science. Moreover, training is conducted simultaneously in both directions. So in schools with the Kazakh language of instruction in the high school (10-11 grades), instruction in such subjects as World History, Geography and Russian Literature will be conducted in Russian, and in schools with Russian as the language of instruction in a high school, teaching History of Kazakhstan, Geography and Kazakh Literature is supposed to be conducted in the Kazakh language. In both types of schools, subjects in the field of science (Physics, Chemistry and Biology) will be taught in English (The policy of trilingual education in Nazarbayev intellectual schools. - Astana. -2013.-C.2-3) Thus, each citizen is expected to know three languages fluently after school graduation.

However, the necessity to know several languages presupposes the formation in modern schoolchildren of an adequate understanding of the place of foreign languages in the modern world and teaching the correct attitude towards the representatives of other cultures. Tolerance, respect, recognition of the right of other ethnic groups to self-determination and, at the same time, the global integration of society is not new in the modern world. Taking into account the fact that any language is a cultural code that shapes the philosophy of a human life, as well as its value system, style of behavior and way of thinking, it is necessary to set the goal of a multilingual education not just as the knowledge of the three languages by all children, but first of all as the integration of the world outlook of the three cultural codes. Each culture has its own language system, through which the native speakers communicate with each other, transmit and fix symbols, norms, customs, translate information, scientific knowledge and behaviors, beliefs, ideas, feelings, values and attitudes. Outside the language, culture is simply impossible, because language forms its foundation, its internal basis. Between the language and the real world there is a man - a native speaker of language and culture. It is he who realizes and perceives the world through the senses, creates on this basis his ideas about the world. Therefore, in the system of school education, special attention should be paid to the formation of the 'language personality' in the schoolchildren.

2. 'LANGUAGE PERSONALITY' VS 'SUBJECT OF INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATION'

'Language personality' is traditionally understood as a person, a native speaker, able to produce the speech activity, i.e. complex psycho-physical properties of the individual, allowing him to produce and perceive speech acts. In addition, the "language personality" can be understood as the basic national-cultural prototype of the native speaker of a certain language, which is fixed primarily in the lexical system, a kind of "semantic fingerprint" that is compiled on the basis of ideological attitudes, value priorities and behavioral reactions reflected in the vocabulary ..."(Vorkachev S.G., 2001 p.64-72)

As regards teaching foreign languages, methodologists (I.A. Khaleeva, N.D. Galskova, and others) singled out the notion of a 'secondary language personality' which means a linguistic personality as "a person's ability to communicate at the intercultural level. This ability consists of mastering the verbal and semantic code of the language being studied, that is, the "language picture of the world" of the speakers of this language (the formation of secondary linguistic consciousness) and the "global (conceptual) picture of the world" (Galskova N.D., Gez N.I., 2004)

In the Kazakhstani methodology of teaching foreign languages, the concept of the "secondary language personality" as the aim of teaching foreign languages was questioned by Kunanbayeva S.S., who indicates the impossibility of forming "... such maximal qualitative levels of owning a target culture and language as 'secondary language personality', with the level of possessing the foreign language and culture proficiency similar to that of native speakers' ... "in the absence of a linguistic-social and other cultural environment. At the same time, the final qualitative result of foreign language education is determined by Kunanbayeva S.S. as the formation of the personality of the 'subject of intercultural communication', by which she understands "... a person with a high level of cognitive-knowledge and activity-communicative basics of intercultural

communication, reflecting the presence of 'secondary cognitive consciousness' of the subject and ensuring the ability of the individual to adequately perform intercultural communication, flexibly reacting to the variety of communicative situations, while demonstrating a strong fixed socio- and linguocultural components of intercultural competence, communicative and behavioral culture, corresponding to the norms of the linguistic sociology" (Kunanbayeva S.S., p.116-137)

Thus, Kunanbaeva S.S. singles out "intercultural-communicative competence", which includes socio-cultural and linguistic-cultural components (Kunanbayeva S.S., p.127). As the author notes, the formation of the personality of the "subject of intercultural communication" is possible when the individual passes through three stages of perceiving the culture of the country of the studied language: 1) the ethnocentric perception of the culture of the language being studied as the initial level of obtaining ideas about the culture of the country of the studied language through norms and values of one's own culture; 2) the formation of cultural self-determination by means of the foreign language, through the accumulation of knowledge about the variability of styles and life of the communities studied; 3) the formation of the personality of the "subject of the dialogue of cultures", as the highest level of socio-cultural competence, recognized as the goal of the whole life of the individual.

Kunanbaeva S.S. considers such sub-competences as linguocultural, social, sociocultural, conceptual, personality-centered, cognitive, communicative to be the components of the Intercultural - communicative Competence (ICC). Moreover, the process of forming the ICC will be effective when a reflexive-developing principle (i.e. self-assessment, self-evaluation, reflection) of foreign language education is taken into account.

3. LANGUAGE PORTFOLIO AS A TOOL TO PROMOTE MULTILINGUAL EDUCATION

In the 90-ies in the countries of the European Union the formation of standards for teaching foreign languages was started from the instrument of self-evaluation. So, in November 1991 in the city of Ruschlikon (Switzerland) an international symposium was held, where the Council of Europe's "Common European Framework of References" was considered. Based on the proclaimed principle of linguistic pluralism, the European Language Portfolio (ELP) was adopted - a document designed to promote the development of multilingualism and intercultural understanding. It is a practical implementation of the "Common European Framework of References". (Galskova N.D., 2000, Protasova E.Yu. 2004)

The creation of ELP pursued two goals (European Language Portfolio (accredited model #9.2001 – revised in 2006): A) to increase the motivation of students learning foreign languages through the confirmation of their achievements in the expansion and diversity of language skills across all levels; B) to keep records of acquired linguistic and intercultural skills (which can be taken into account in the transition to a more advanced level of language proficiency).

Within the framework of these goals, there are also two main functions of ELP: pedagogical and informative. The pedagogical function is to increase the motivation for learning languages, which is possible through improving the ability to communicate in different languages, learning additional languages, searching for new intercultural contacts, and encouraging students to reflect on goals, tasks, ways of learning the foreign languages, planning their studying process, autonomous (independent) study of the foreign language, promotion of multilingual and intercultural experience (for example, through contacts and visits, reading, use of the media, participation in projects). An informative function is to provide the Portfolio user with reliable, clear and informative evidence of his mastery in the foreign languages, in order to inform others about the levels of their language competence in different languages, for example, transferring to another higher education sector, which is very important in the developing the idea of forming a continuous foreign language (multilingual) education.

In Kazakh University of International Relations and World Languages named after Ablai Khan, there has been developed and tested the domestic model of the Language Portfolio, created on the basis of ELP and CEFR. We propose to consider the Portfolio as a tool for the systematic organization of independent work of students, which in this case is regarded as a logical continuation of classroom activities, allowing the learner to work on a reproducing, semi-creative and creative levels involving self-assessment, self-control and self-study; on the basis of a student's understanding of the demands which are placed on him. While working independently the student is assigned the role of an active subject of the educational activity, a teacher - a consultant, directing this activity.

Let us consider the Kazakhstani model of Language Portfolio in more details. It consists of three parts (Savankova M.V., 2004): Language Passport; Language Biography; Dossier.

In the *Passport*, the student in a concise form reflects his communicative and intercultural competence. It is a question of all non-native languages studied by him, examinations and their results, intercultural contacts that he had at a certain age or throughout his life, as well as tables for self-assessment (on the basis of CEFR). In other words, this part is an updateable overview of learner's experience in and ability with different languages. Below we present a sample of the Language Passport.

Language Passport Language _____

Languages and you.

Languages in my daily life. _____

The languages of communication in my family and the languages spoken by my immediate family

Where I studied _____

Languages I studied at school _____

Languages I studied outside the school _____

Stay abroad _____

Projects _____

Languages of instruction _____

My knowledge of another country and people who speak other languages.

I have friends in another country _____

I regularly contact with my friends _____

I'm in other countries _____

I listen to news that are happening in other countries _____, etc.

In the second part, *the Language Biography*, a record is given of how, why and where the foreign language was studied. Language Biography part helps students to identify the goals of learning the foreign language, intercultural experience, and to assess and clarify the current level of language proficiency. This helps the teachers to determine the success of the application of one or another method of teaching a foreign language which can help to make informed decisions about further teaching process.

Language Biography combines 4 sections. The first section is called *My language learning aims*. Here the student writes down why he studies the foreign language, and also determines the most important from his point of view language skills that he would like to obtain.

1. My language learning aims.

Language _____

(Write about your goals, what language and how you want to learn)

Why are you studying this language? Language you need for work, travel or for study?

What do you need to be able to do in the language? _____

What is more important for you: understand speech by ear, write, speak or read?

Do you need to achieve a certain level of language skills?

Do you think that it is better for you to learn languages?

The second section is named as *my language learning history* - the student lists his experience of studying foreign languages in a chronological order.

II. My language learning history.

In chronological order, describe your experience in the study of languages, for example: acquaintance with the language in childhood; studying the language on the courses; contacts with native speakers of the language; stay in the regions where the language of study is spoken; use of the language at work; other use of the language (during the holidays, regular TV programs, reading newspapers, etc.).

YEARS	EXPERIENCE

The third section of Language Biography is called *My most significant linguistic and intercultural experiences* and contains the description of the language learning and intercultural experiences that have been the most important for the learner.

III. My most significant linguistic and intercultural experience is its description.

Write about the intercultural experience that was significant for you (influenced by you)

How did this experience change your attitude to the language and culture of the people of the studied language? _____

How did this experience affect your success in learning a language and understanding another culture? _____

What did you like most about it? _____

The fourth and the working part of Language Biography is called *My current language learning priorities*. In this section the learner evaluates what he already knows at this level (for all types of communicative skills including Grammar and Phonetics). This section contains tables for self-assessment, compiled on the basis of analysis of the language and speech material of the textbook, which is one of the distinctive features of our proposed model of portfolio (ELP, by contrast, uses the CEFR as the basis of evaluating the current level of communicative skills). Another distinction of our model of portfolio is the use of the teacher's mark together with the learner's self-evaluation. By comparing the learner's self-evaluation and the teacher's mark it is possible to form the adequate student's self – evaluation and, as a result, improve significantly the studying results. Thus, based on self-assessment, self-monitoring by the student, as well as monitoring and evaluation by the teacher, self-learning (improvement) in the level of foreign language proficiency is carried out.

VI. My current language learning priorities.

Self-assessment sheet

On File 3 (English File. Intermediate.)

1. Self-assessment of skills.
2. Evaluation of the skills by the teacher.
3. Reference to the source (ie textbook, section, page, on which you can find this material).

The List of Skills	1	2	3
Reading			
<i>I can read and understand the information in the text about daily routine</i>			22
<i>I can read and understand short articles about diets etc.</i>			28
Listening			

<i>I can listen to the text and fill in the gaps</i>			31
<i>I can listen to the text and transform its content into the diagram etc.</i>			25
Writing			
<i>I can write an essay about my diet</i>			29
<i>I can write an apology- letter etc.</i>			35, 141
Speaking			
<i>I can name the internal organs</i>			131
<i>I can ask the partner about his daily routine</i>			25
<i>I can talk about illnesses</i>			131
<i>I know the difference between 'sorry' and 'excuse me'</i>			35
<i>I can apologize</i>			35
<i>I can reply the 'tag-question' politely etc.</i>			34
Grammar			
<i>I know the difference between Present Simple and Present Continuous</i>			24
<i>I know the verbs which are not used in Present Continuous etc</i>			24
Phonetics			
<i>I can pronounce the 'igh' between consonants</i>			23
<i>I can pronounce the letter 'l' between the consonants, etc.</i>			23

In the third part - the *Dossier* - the student collects all the materials that testify to his success in mastering the language: diplomas, certificates, and interesting works in a foreign language, i.e. all that confirms the student's achievements in the study of the foreign language. It will help the learner to reflect on his/her progress and can also be used to demonstrate to others what he/she is capable of. In addition, the Dossier may contain models, examples, samples and a description of the course program.

In the process of experimental training, the students demonstrated an increase in interest, motivation to learn the language, the need for self-improvement in their level of language proficiency, and, what is very important, the formation of self-monitoring and self-assessment skills. In addition, the role of the student in the pedagogical process has changed significantly: from the "passive" object, he became an "active" subject of the activity, aware (to varying degrees) of responsibility for learning outcomes.

4. CONCLUSION

Thus, the Portfolio makes it possible to expand the scope of the educational process (in the classroom) by incorporating experience in authentic intercultural, indirect and direct communication into the system of assessing the achievements of students, implements the principles of personality-oriented and reflective approaches in teaching that can significantly improve the effectiveness of multilingual education in modern conditions of modernization of the education system in the Republic of Kazakhstan. We believe that the inclusion of Portfolio in the process of teaching foreign languages will give it a systematic character, because

in the process of working with the Portfolio, the teacher and the student work together to improve the level of language proficiency, which will allow to eliminate the gaps in "teaching" and "learning", realizing the principle of continuity in teaching foreign (and native) languages, increasing motivation and the students' conscious attitude to learning foreign languages, which undoubtedly will improve the quality of a multilingual education.

REFERENCE LIST:

- Strategy "Kazakhstan-2050". (2012). New political course of the held state: Message from the President of the Republic of Kazakhstan N.A. Nazarbayev to the people of Kazakhstan
- The policy of trilingual education in Nazarbayev intellectual schools (2013) - Astana. -p.2-3.
- Vorkachev SG (2001) Linguoculturology, language personality, the concept: the formation of the anthropocentric paradigm in linguistics // Philological Sciences -- № 1. - P. 64-72.
- Galskova ND, Gez N.I. (2004) Theory of teaching foreign languages: Linguodidactics and methodology: Teaching guide for students of linguistic universities and faculties. - Moscow: Publishing Center "Academy",. - 336p.
- Kunanbaeva SS(2005) Modern foreign language education: methodology and theory. Almaty,. - 264s.
- Galskova N.D.. Language portfolio as a tool for assessment and self-assessment of students in the field of foreign languages. / Foreign languages in school.-2000-No. 5
- Savankova M.V.(2004) Portfolio, as a modern approach in the organization of independent work of students in a foreign language (English, language school). Master's. dis. - Almaty,
- Protasova E.Yu. European language policy.// Foreign languages at school.-2004-No.1
- My languages portfolio/ European Language Portfolio: adult version: revised edition. CILT, The national Center for Languages 2006 London (accredited model #9.2001 – revised in 2006) Published in 2007
- European Language Portfolio: accredited model#6/2000
- European Language Portfolio: accredited model#9/2001
- Clive Oxenden, Christina Latham-Coening. (1999) English File. Oxford University press.